# metal-organic compounds

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

## Diazidobis(2,2'-biimidazole)nickel(II)

# Zhong-Hai Ni,<sup>a</sup>\* Zhi-Nan Cai,<sup>b</sup> Wei-Feng Zhong<sup>a</sup> and Shang-Zheng Wei<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>School of Chemistry and Chemical Technology, Shandong University, Jinan 250100, People's Republic of China, and <sup>b</sup>Department of Chemistry, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, People's Republic of China Correspondence e-mail: nizhh@sdu.edu.cn

Received 26 April 2007; accepted 27 April 2007

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 293 K; mean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.004 Å; R factor = 0.030; wR factor = 0.069; data-to-parameter ratio = 12.1.

Green single crystals of the title compound,  $[Ni(N_3)_2(C_6H_6N_4)_2]$ , were obtained by reacting nickel(II) perchlorate with 2,2'-biimidazole (H<sub>2</sub>bim) and sodium azide. The azide and H<sub>2</sub>bim ligands are bonded to the Ni atom, which lies on an inversion centre, with approximately octahedral geometry. The azide anions are terminally bonded in two *trans* postitions. A two-dimensional supramolecular network is formed through hydrogen bonds between ligand H<sub>2</sub>bim and azide N atoms.

### **Related literature**

For related literature, see: Albada *et al.* (2004); Atencio *et al.* (2004, 2005); Ding *et al.* (2005); Ghosh *et al.* (2006); Ghoshal *et al.* (2005); Sang & Xu (2006); Tadokoro & Nakasuji (2000); Xiao & Shreeve (2005).

### **Experimental**

Crystal data  $[Ni(N_3)_2(C_6H_6N_4)_2]$  $M_r = 411.07$ 

Monoclinic, C2/ca = 12.6974 (18) Å b = 8.8399 (10) Å c = 14.3537 (12) Å  $\beta = 91.803 (9)^{\circ}$   $V = 1610.3 (3) \text{ Å}^{3}$ Z = 4

### Data collection

Bruker P4 diffractometer	
Absorption correction: $\psi$ scan	
(North et al., 1968)	
$T_{\min} = 0.743, T_{\max} = 0.820$	
1966 measured reflections	
1505 independent reflections	

Refinement

 $\begin{array}{ll} R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.030 & 124 \text{ parameters} \\ wR(F^2) = 0.069 & H\text{-atom parameters constrained} \\ S = 1.02 & \Delta\rho_{\max} = 0.22 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3} \\ 1505 \text{ reflections} & \Delta\rho_{\min} = -0.20 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3} \end{array}$ 

Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation

 $0.26 \times 0.20 \times 0.16 \text{ mm}$ 

1250 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ 

every 97 reflections intensity decay: none

 $\mu = 1.24 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ 

T = 293 (2) K

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.022$ 3 standard reflections

### Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$N2-H2A\cdots N7^{i}$	0.86	2.01	2.822 (3)	157
$N4-H4A\cdots N7^{i}$	0.86	2.25	3.020 (3)	149
$N4-H4A\cdots N5^{ii}$	0.86	2.55	3.044 (3)	118

Symmetry codes: (i)  $-x + \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + 1$ ; (ii)  $x + \frac{1}{2}, y - \frac{1}{2}, z$ .

Data collection: *XSCANS* (Bruker, 1997); cell refinement: *XSCANS*; data reduction: *SHELXTL* (Bruker, 1997); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL*; software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*.

This work was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: HG2232).

#### References

- Albada, G. A. van, Mohamadou, A., Mutikainen, I., Turpeinen, U. & Reedijk, J. (2004). Acta Cryst. E60, m237–m238.
- Atencio, R., Chacon, M., Gonzolez, T., Briceno, A., Agrifoglio, G. & Sierraalta, A. (2004). *Dalton Trans.* pp. 505–513.
- Atencio, R., Ramlrez, K., Reyes, J. A., Gonzalez, T. & Silva, P. (2005). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 358, 520–526.
- Bruker (1997). XSCANS and SHELXTL. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Ding, B. B., Weng, Y. Q., Mao, Z. W., Lam, C. K., Chen, X. M. & Ye, B. H. (2005). Inorg. Chem. 44, 8836–8845.
- Ghosh, A. K., Jana, A. D., Ghoshal, D., Mostafa, G. & Ray Chaudhuri, N. (2006). *Cryst. Growth Des.* **6**, 701–707.
- Ghoshal, D., Ghosh, A. K., Ribas, J., Zangrando, E., Mostafa, G., Maji, T. K. & Ray Chaudhuri, N. (2005). Cryst. Growth Des. 5, 941–947.
- North, A. C. T., Phillips, D. C. & Mathews, F. S. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 351–359.
- Sang, R. L. & Xu, L. (2006). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 359, 525-532.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97 and SHELXS97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Tadokoro, M. & Nakasuji, K. (2000). Coord. Chem. Rev. 198, 205-218.
- Xiao, J. C. & Shreeve, J. M. (2005). J. Org. Chem. 70, 3072–3078.

supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2007). E63, m1570 [doi:10.1107/S1600536807021113]

### Diazidobis(2,2'-biimidazole)nickel(II)

### Z.-H. Ni, Z.-N. Cai, W.-F. Zhong and S.-Z. Wei

### Comment

2,2'-biimidazole and its monoanion ligand Hbim<sup>-</sup> as well as its hydrogenated derivative 2,2'-biimidazoline play an important role in the molecular self-assembly and molecular recognition in chemical, physical and biological sciences, since they not only can be coordinated to metal centers as bidentate chelate but can act as donors in hydrogen bonding interactions usually leading to higher dimensional supramolecular structures (Atencio *et al.*, 2004; Ghosh *et al.*, 2006; Tadokoro & Nakasuji, 2000).

To date, a variety of supramolecular architectures involving polynuclear, one-, two- and three-dimensional molecular arrangements have been obtained based on the above mentioned multifunctional ligands (Atencio *et al.*, 2005; Ding *et al.*, 2005; Sang & Xu, 2006; Tadokoro & Nakasuji, 2000). More recently, two novel dicyanamido-bridged one-dimensional polymeric complexes of manganese(II) have been reported using 2,2'-biimidazole as a bidentate chelate (Ghoshal *et al.*, 2005). It is well known that azide anion is an excellent bridging ligand and a good hydrogen bonds acceptant. Therefore, we hoped to obtain azide-bridged and hydrogen bonds-connected higher dimensional structures based on 2,2'-biimidazole and azide ligands and transitional metal ions through the control of their molar ratios. However, only a mononuclear complex Ni(H<sub>2</sub>bim)<sub>2</sub>(N<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, (I), was obtained, and its molecular structure was reported herein.

An ORTEP drawing of the title complex is shown in Fig. 1. In the complex Ni(H<sub>2</sub>bim)<sub>2</sub>(N<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, the central Ni atom is hexacoordinated by six N atoms from two H<sub>2</sub>bim ligands and two azide ions leading to an approximately octahedral structure. The basal plane of the octahedral coordination of the Ni(II) atom is formed by four N atoms from two bidentate chelating H<sub>2</sub>bim and the apical position is occupied by N atoms of two azide groups. The Ni—N bond distances range from from 2.0931 (19)Å to 2.153 (2) Å, which are similar to those of Ni—N bond in complex [Ni(N<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>10</sub>N<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>] (Albada *et al.*, 2004). The Ni1—N5—N6 bond angle is 119.90 (18)°. The azide anion is nearly linear [N5—N6—N7 = 179.0 (3)°]. The N—N bond lengths of 1.180 (3)Å for N5—N6 and 1.173 (3)Å for N6—N7 are normal.

The  $Ni(H_2bim)_2(N_3)_2$  units are connected together by the intermolecular hydrogen bonds involving uncoordinated  $H_2bim$  ligand and azide nitrogen atoms as well as coordinated azide nitrogen atoms leading to two-dimensional supramolecular network.

In the IR spectrum the azide vibration is observed at 2053 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

### Experimental

The ligand 2,2'-biimidazoline (H<sub>2</sub>bim) was prepared according to the method reported in the literature (Xiao & Shreeve, 2005). A solid of 2,2'-biimidazoline (0.2 mmol) was added to the methanol solution (8 ml) of Ni(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>.6H<sub>2</sub>O (0.1 mmol) and a reseda solution was obtained. To the solution, a aqueous solution (5 ml) o f NaN<sub>3</sub> (0.6 mmol) was added carefully. The

# supplementary materials

mixture was filtered and slowly evaporated to generate grass green single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis. (Yield 50%). Elemental analysis [found (calculated)] for  $C_{12}H_{12}N_{14}Ni$ : C 35.40 (35.12), H 3.01 (2.95), N 47.65% (47.81%).

### Refinement

H atoms bound to C and N atoms were visible in difference maps and were placed using the HFIX commands in SHELXL-97. A 11 H atoms were allowed for as riding atoms (C—H 0.97 Å, N—H 0.86 Å).

### **Figures**



Fig. 1. A view of (I) with the unique atom-labelling scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level.



Fig. 2. The hydrogen-bonded network in (I). Hydrogen bonds are indicated by dashed lines.

### Diazidobis(2,2'-biimidazole)nickel(II)

Crystal data	
[Ni(N <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> (C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>6</sub> N <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> ]	$F_{000} = 840$
$M_r = 411.07$	$D_{\rm x} = 1.696 {\rm ~Mg} {\rm m}^{-3}$
Monoclinic, C2/c	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å
Hall symbol: -C 2yc	Cell parameters from 45 reflections
<i>a</i> = 12.6974 (18) Å	$\theta = 4.6 - 23.7^{\circ}$
<i>b</i> = 8.8399 (10) Å	$\mu = 1.24 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
c = 14.3537 (12)  Å	T = 293 (2) K
$\beta = 91.803 \ (9)^{\circ}$	Block, green
$V = 1610.3 (3) \text{ Å}^3$	$0.26\times0.20\times0.16~mm$
Z = 4	

### Data collection

Bruker P4 diffractometer	$R_{\rm int} = 0.022$
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	$\theta_{max} = 25.5^{\circ}$
Monochromator: graphite	$\theta_{\min} = 2.8^{\circ}$
T = 293(2)  K	$h = -1 \rightarrow 15$
ω scans	$k = -1 \rightarrow 10$
Absorption correction: psi scan	$l = -17 \rightarrow 17$

(North et al., 1968)	
$T_{\min} = 0.743, T_{\max} = 0.820$	3 standard reflections
1966 measured reflections	every 97 reflections
1505 independent reflections	intensity decay: none
1250 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$	

### Refinement

Refinement on  $F^2$ 

Least-squares matrix: full

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.030$ 

 $wR(F^2) = 0.069$ 

S = 1.02

1505 reflections

124 parameters

Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods

Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites

### Special details

**Geometry**. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

H-atom parameters constrained  $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^2) + (0.001P)^2 + 2.2P]$ 

where  $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ 

 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$ 

 $\Delta \rho_{\text{max}} = 0.22 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ 

 $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.20 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ 

Extinction correction: none

**Refinement**. Refinement of  $F^2$  against ALL reflections. The weighted R-factor wR and goodness of fit S are based on  $F^2$ , conventional R-factors R are based on F, with F set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The threshold expression of  $F^2 > 2 \text{sigma}(F^2)$  is used only for calculating R-factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R-factors based on  $F^2$  are statistically about twice as large as those based on F, and R- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

	x	у	Ζ	$U_{\rm iso}*/U_{\rm eq}$
Ni1	0.2500	0.7500	0.5000	0.04159 (13)
N1	0.23120 (16)	0.5740 (2)	0.40210 (14)	0.0440 (5)
N2	0.27795 (17)	0.3433 (2)	0.36366 (14)	0.0474 (5)
H2A	0.3097	0.2574	0.3655	0.057*
N3	0.34697 (15)	0.5856 (2)	0.56318 (13)	0.0429 (5)
N4	0.42338 (16)	0.3636 (2)	0.54714 (13)	0.0452 (5)
H4A	0.4399	0.2776	0.5237	0.054*
N5	0.11730 (17)	0.6639 (2)	0.57324 (15)	0.0486 (5)
N6	0.10387 (17)	0.5322 (3)	0.57872 (14)	0.0477 (5)
N7	0.0897 (2)	0.4015 (3)	0.58536 (18)	0.0629 (6)
C1	0.1773 (2)	0.5320 (3)	0.32153 (18)	0.0504 (6)

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(A^2)$ 

# supplementary materials

H1A	0.1287	0.5921	0.2888	0.061*
C2	0.2057 (2)	0.3902 (3)	0.29705 (18)	0.0529 (7)
H2B	0.1811	0.3356	0.2454	0.063*
C3	0.29009 (19)	0.4563 (3)	0.42548 (16)	0.0407 (5)
C4	0.35389 (18)	0.4631 (3)	0.51081 (16)	0.0404 (5)
C5	0.4630 (2)	0.4248 (3)	0.62848 (17)	0.0496 (6)
H5A	0.5125	0.3812	0.6694	0.060*
C6	0.4157 (2)	0.5619 (3)	0.63756 (17)	0.0495 (6)
H6A	0.4280	0.6292	0.6865	0.059*

# Atomic displacement parameters $(\text{\AA}^2)$

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
Ni1	0.0441 (2)	0.0386 (2)	0.0415 (2)	0.0114 (2)	-0.00750 (18)	-0.00495 (19)
N1	0.0469 (11)	0.0422 (12)	0.0424 (11)	0.0072 (10)	-0.0066 (9)	-0.0045 (9)
N2	0.0542 (12)	0.0404 (12)	0.0476 (11)	0.0076 (10)	-0.0004 (10)	-0.0068 (10)
N3	0.0432 (11)	0.0445 (12)	0.0406 (10)	0.0109 (10)	-0.0050 (9)	-0.0037 (9)
N4	0.0485 (12)	0.0412 (11)	0.0458 (11)	0.0139 (10)	0.0017 (9)	0.0006 (9)
N5	0.0504 (12)	0.0400 (13)	0.0550 (13)	0.0096 (10)	-0.0016 (10)	-0.0034 (10)
N6	0.0444 (12)	0.0507 (15)	0.0474 (12)	0.0132 (11)	-0.0072 (10)	-0.0071 (10)
N7	0.0670 (16)	0.0400 (14)	0.0808 (17)	0.0074 (12)	-0.0097 (13)	-0.0061 (12)
C1	0.0517 (15)	0.0522 (16)	0.0468 (14)	0.0053 (13)	-0.0088 (12)	-0.0015 (12)
C2	0.0585 (16)	0.0552 (17)	0.0444 (14)	-0.0006 (14)	-0.0080 (12)	-0.0089 (12)
C3	0.0417 (12)	0.0394 (13)	0.0412 (12)	0.0044 (11)	0.0022 (10)	-0.0024 (10)
C4	0.0387 (12)	0.0402 (13)	0.0423 (12)	0.0074 (11)	0.0015 (10)	0.0012 (10)
C5	0.0474 (14)	0.0569 (17)	0.0443 (13)	0.0162 (13)	-0.0045 (11)	0.0046 (12)
C6	0.0481 (14)	0.0573 (16)	0.0426 (13)	0.0131 (13)	-0.0065 (11)	-0.0039 (12)

### Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Ni1—N3	2.0931 (19)	N4—C4	1.339 (3)
Ni1—N3 <sup>i</sup>	2.0931 (19)	N4—C5	1.368 (3)
Ni1—N1	2.105 (2)	N4—H4A	0.8600
Ni1—N1 <sup>i</sup>	2.105 (2)	N5—N6	1.180 (3)
Ni1—N5	2.153 (2)	N6—N7	1.173 (3)
Ni1—N5 <sup>i</sup>	2.153 (2)	C1—C2	1.354 (4)
N1—C3	1.319 (3)	C1—H1A	0.9300
N1-C1	1.376 (3)	C2—H2B	0.9300
N2—C3	1.342 (3)	C3—C4	1.448 (3)
N2—C2	1.368 (3)	C5—C6	1.361 (4)
N2—H2A	0.8600	С5—Н5А	0.9300
N3—C4	1.323 (3)	C6—H6A	0.9300
N3—C6	1.374 (3)		
N3—Ni1—N3 <sup>i</sup>	180.00 (9)	C4—N4—C5	107.1 (2)
N3—Ni1—N1	80.13 (8)	C4—N4—H4A	126.5
N3 <sup>i</sup> —Ni1—N1	99.87 (8)	C5—N4—H4A	126.5
N3—Ni1—N1 <sup>i</sup>	99.87 (8)	N6—N5—Ni1	119.90 (18)

N3 <sup>i</sup> —Ni1—N1 <sup>i</sup>	80.13 (8)	N7—N6—N5	179.0 (3)
N1—Ni1—N1 <sup>i</sup>	180.0	C2—C1—N1	109.8 (2)
N3—Ni1—N5	90.09 (8)	C2—C1—H1A	125.1
N3 <sup>i</sup> —Ni1—N5	89.91 (8)	N1—C1—H1A	125.1
N1—Ni1—N5	89.46 (8)	C1—C2—N2	106.1 (2)
N1 <sup>i</sup> —Ni1—N5	90.54 (8)	C1—C2—H2B	127.0
N3—Ni1—N5 <sup>i</sup>	89.91 (8)	N2—C2—H2B	127.0
N3 <sup>i</sup> —Ni1—N5 <sup>i</sup>	90.09 (8)	N1—C3—N2	111.5 (2)
N1—Ni1—N5 <sup>i</sup>	90.54 (8)	N1—C3—C4	118.7 (2)
N1 <sup>i</sup> —Ni1—N5 <sup>i</sup>	89.46 (8)	N2—C3—C4	129.8 (2)
N5—Ni1—N5 <sup>i</sup>	180.0	N3—C4—N4	111.8 (2)
C3—N1—C1	105.3 (2)	N3—C4—C3	118.0 (2)
C3—N1—Ni1	111.22 (15)	N4—C4—C3	130.2 (2)
C1—N1—Ni1	143.43 (18)	C6—C5—N4	106.4 (2)
C3—N2—C2	107.3 (2)	С6—С5—Н5А	126.8
C3—N2—H2A	126.3	N4—C5—H5A	126.8
C2—N2—H2A	126.3	C5—C6—N3	109.4 (2)
C4—N3—C6	105.4 (2)	С5—С6—Н6А	125.3
C4—N3—Ni1	111.78 (15)	N3—C6—H6A	125.3
C6—N3—Ni1	142.48 (18)		

Symmetry codes: (i) -x+1/2, -y+3/2, -z+1.

## Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	H···A	$D \cdots A$	D—H··· $A$	
N2—H2A…N7 <sup>ii</sup>	0.86	2.01	2.822 (3)	157	
N4—H4A…N7 <sup>ii</sup>	0.86	2.25	3.020 (3)	149	
N4—H4A…N5 <sup>iii</sup>	0.86	2.55	3.044 (3)	118	
Symmetry codes: (ii) $-x+1/2$ , $-y+1/2$ , $-z+1$ ; (iii) $x+1/2$ , $y-1/2$ , $z$ .					







Fig. 2